J 1

#### HUMAN SERVICES ADVISORY BOARD MEETING Thursday, September 28, 2017 6:00 PM

#### MARTIN LUTHER KING HUMANITARIAN AWARD NOMINEES TALLY SHEET

NAME	VOTES	COMMENTS
1. Mansura Minhas (1)	24	
2. Marquerite Bryant (7)	40	
3. Anthony Sanders (17)		
	16	
4. Juliet Brown (2)	40	
5. Officer Martin Jackson III (2)	:40	

Applicant Name: Manacony Prints

Rater Name: duckie Fentust

Total Score = 24

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

_	-, -		γ
Total (max. Au)	Total Points (May 10)	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.	Rated Element: Community Service
		to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.  The narrative is vague and does of any details regarding community comprehensive community service service service	je points)Complete/Excellent/Strong
0).			Total Points

#### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	that a cross-section of the community is engaged	Rated Element: Inclusiveness  Strong sense of connection to the cause
	Not mentioned as a priority	(0-2 points)
	any details regarding Inclusiveness	
	Ine narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate inclusiveness	(7-10 points)
6		Total Points

Rate
ted E
eme
i i
ora,
3
60 mg
G N
8
S
10 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
<b>14.6</b>
70. 0.
[7,10
point
(5
18 27 AP
9
<u>2</u>
oints

Total Points (Wax. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding collaboration collaboration
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration
	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration
03	

Total Points (Max. 10)	Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.	Rated Element: Ethics (Honesty & Integrity)
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding Ethics  The narrative is vague and does The narrative is clear but lacks  Ethics  The narrative is vague and does The narrative is clear but lacks  Ethics	(0-2 points) (7-10 points) Total Points
6		Total Points

	Comments:
	Very weethy but concentrates or food preferency.

Applicant Name: 12 2-8 20 25 8 Ryont

Total Score = 46

Rater Name: a rock - Pentecosts

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.	Rated Element: Community Service.  Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of community service service The narrative is clear but lacks not clearly demonstrates community comprehensive community service activity	(0-2 points) incomplete (4-6 points) Acceptable/Average points)Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Points  Answer Poscciotion
0		g Total Points

#### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

0				Total Points (Max. 10)
	Inclusiveness	Inclusiveness		engaged
	activities that demonstrate	garding	Not mentioned as a priority	that a cross-section of the community is
	The narrative clearly demonstrates	ir but lacks		work group, club or organization. Ensure
				Strong sense of connection to the cause
Total Points	(7-10 pgints)	(4.6 points)	(0-2 points)	Rated Element: Inclusiveness

₹,
nted
Eler
men
്ര
<u>0</u>
- 0 0
. <u>.</u> .
774
<b>8</b>
<b>.</b>
9
<b>)</b>
7
on.
=
7-10
2
5445 (14) 1145 (14)
oral I
7799 ( M. 1987)
oints
vs.

Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders	
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of collaboration any details regarding collaboration	
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration	
	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration	
18		

services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders	not convey the essence of collaboration	not convey the essence of any details regarding collaboration collaboration	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration	- 10
Total Points (Max. 10)				
			The second secon	18
Section IV. Maximum Total Points = 10				
Rated Element: Ethics (Honesty & Integrity)	(0-2 points)	(4-6 points)	(7-10 points) Total Points	Total Points
Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization	The narrative is vague and does	The parrative is clear but lack		
and the cause or mission it represents. Engendering trust within the community.	Ethics	any details regarding Ethics	Ethics	
Total Points (Max. 10)				
1333				10

	Comments:

Applicant Name: Antrony Sandars

Rater Name: Jack & Fantesos

Total Score = 16

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

Section I. - Waximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.	Rated Element, Community Service  Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of community service	(0-2 points) Incomplete
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of community service The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding community service	(4-6 points) Acceptable/Averag
	r but lacks The narrative clearly demonstrates community comprehensive community service activity	e points)Complete/Excellent/Strong
4		Total Points

#### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

	Total Points (Max. 10)	engaged		work group, club or organization. Ensure	Strong sense of connection to the cause	Rated Flement: Inclusiveness
			Not mentioned as a priority			(0-2 points)
		Inclusiveness	any details regarding	r but lacks		(4-6 points)
		Inclusiveness	activities that demonstrate	The narrative clearly demonstrates		: (7-10 paints)
1					100 mm	Total Points

20
2
ုင္ငင္
: 3 <u>•••</u>
<u></u>
# # #
2 2
3.5
8
01
5
. 9
real fr
17.0
1 1 2 2 3
<b>************************************</b>
4.4
0 ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (
AND SECTION
3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
. 9
N D
8
3
<b>6</b>
710.38
100
<b>建</b> 加速发生
0.4
2
Ön.
•
_ ≗
<u>. 5</u>
7
2
<b>O</b>
- 8
3
a de la companya de l
18.1 May 12.17.5
CS-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00
1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.
3
<b>5</b>
0
- <b>3</b>
S.

Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding collaboration
	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration

ection.	
١٧.	
mumixan	
lota	
Points	
= 10	

Rated Element: Ethics (Honesty & :- Integrity)	(0-2 points) (4-6 points) (7-10 points) Total Points	Total Points
Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding Ethics  The narrative is vague and does and does the narrative is clear but lacks. The narrative clearly demonstrates Ethics	·
Total Points (Max. 10)		X

	COMMISCINES.
then in firace in x youx breeds.	

Applicant Name: 20/12t Brown

Total Score = 40

Rater Name: Jackie Pantacost

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, distributing or serving others, etc.  The narrative is vague and not convey the essence of community service	Rated Element: Community Service Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
	The narrative is vague and does   The narrative is clear but lacks   The narrative clearly demonstrates   not convey the essence of   any details regarding community comprehensive community service   service   activity	(0-2 points) incomplete (4-6 points) Acceptable/Average points)Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Points
0		al Points

#### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	work group, club or organization. Ensure that a cross-section of the community is engaged	Rated Element, Inclusiveness  Strong sense of connection to the cause
	Not mentioned as a priority	(0-2 points)
	any details regarding	(4-6 points)
	activities that demonstrate Inclusiveness	(7-10 points)
9		Total Points

	3
Ω	Ĺ
1 8	
2	ì
	ľ
Marco Caro	
	ì
- 1	j
- 1 - 2	j
	į
_	
- 10 mm 2	ì
a	
Ē	6
ĕ	į
Oration	۱
9	
در المراجع	
1998 353	
3 TV-8 T ST	,
1200.370	
Section 1	,
Section 1	
1000	
SAME GREEK OF	
And the Benefit	
1450	
manage.	ì
Profit Action 1	
The Walt	
2.22	
<b>公理公</b> 债。	
<b>"好我的"</b>	:
and the same of	
	0
N	
4 703	3
- 0	ì
<b>三大大学</b>	
-	Ž
- 50	
<b>最高數</b>	
	í
CFT MARKET	
<b>美术数量</b> 的	
- 1988 P. 1889	
5905 NO.	٠
index.gr	
	The state of the state of
	The state of the state of the state of
	The same of the sa
	The state of the s
	The same of the sa
	The same of the sa
	The second secon
\$	する ここ こうかん できる こうしゅう かってき あるのです
(4-6	
\$	こうこう まっこう かいかい まっこう かいしん かいし こうしゅう かいかん ない
\$	こうこう ちょうしゅう かんしょう かんしゅう かんしょう はんしゅう かんしゅう しゅうしゅう
\$	こうこう はいこう かい かない するか できない ないしん しゅうしゅう ないしん はっぱい ないしん はいない こうしゅうしゅう
\$	こうしょう こうしょう かんこうしゅう かいしゅう かしてき はない かんしゅうしゅう しゅうしゅうしゅう
\$	こうこう はっこう こうかい かんしょう かいけい こうしゅ かいしょう はいかい かいしゅう ないしん はいしゅうしゅうしゅう
\$	こうしょう こうしょう かんしゅうこうじゅう しんかい かしてき はないのうしゅ ないとうしゅ こうしゅうしゅ
\$	こうこうぎょう こうこうじゅう はいます かいしゅうしゅうしゅう かいしょう はいかん はいない こうしゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう アンドラング アング アンドラング アンドラング アング アング アンドラング アンドラング アンドラング アンドラング アンドラング アンドラング アング アング アンドラング アンドラング アンドラング アンドラング アング アング アング アング アング アング アング アング アング ア
(4-6 points)   (7	これには、 一年の一年の一年の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の
(4-6 points)   (7	こうことは、これには、これにはなるのでは、これには、からなるのでは、ないできないできない。 これには、これには、これにはないできない。
\$	これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、
(4-6 points)   (7	これには、これには、これでは、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これに
(4-6 points)   (7	《中国》中,一年的《中国》中,1980年,19
(4-6 points)   (7	これには、 一年の一年の一年の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の
(4-6 points)   (7	こうしょう こうじょう かんしょう かんしゅ かんしょう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう はんしゅう はんしゅう しゅうしゅ しゅうしゅ しゅうしゅ しゅうしゅ しゅうしゅう しゅう
(4-6 points)   (7	こうことは、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これに
(4-6 points)   (7	こうしょう こうこうじゅう かんしゅう こうしゅ かっこう のはない はんしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅう
(4-6 points)   (7	こうこうぎょう こうかい かいてき かいけい こうしゅう かっかん のいかい かいかい かいしゅう こうしゅうしゅ しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅうしゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅう
(4-6 points)   (7	こうことは、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これに
(4-6 points)   (7	こうしょう こうこうじゅう かんしゅう かいしゅう かいしん 一般の かいかん はんしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅう
(4-6 points)   (7	です。 1947年 -
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	こうしょう こうこうじゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう かんしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅう
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	こうしょうきょう こうじゅうじゅう かいかく かいかい かんしゅう かんしゅう かいしゅう かいしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう アント・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	こうしい はずり また またい またい かんかい かいかん かいかん かいかい かいしゅう かんしゅう はいない かんしゅう かんしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう かいしゅう かいかい かいかい かいかい かいかい かいしゅう かいしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅう
(4-6 points)   (7	です。 1967年 1月1日 1月1日 1月1日 1月1日 1月1日 1月1日 1月1日 1月1
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	こうかいがき こうちょう こうちゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう しゅうしゅ しゅうしゅ しゅうしゅ しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅう
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	こうしいがあり また こうかん あんしゅうかい はんしん かいかん かいしゅう かいしゅう しゅうかい かいしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅ しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう かいしゅう かいしゅう かいしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅう
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	です。 2000年 1月1日 日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	です。 1997年 -
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	です。 1997年
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	では、1967年では、1967年には1967年には1967年の196
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	です。 1997年 -
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	です。 1967年
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	です。 1967年 1977年
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	では、1989年では、1980年の大学のでは、1980年の日本のでは、1980年
(4.6 points) (7:10 points) Tot	では、1980年では、東京の大学のでは、1980年の日本の大学の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の
(4.6 points) (7:10 points) Tot	では、1990年では、1990年では、1990年の1990年の1990年の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	では、1980年では、1980年では、1980年の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の
(4.6 points) (7:10 points) Tot	のでは、1980年では、1980年では、1980年の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の
(4.6 points) (7:10 points) Tot	では、1980年には、1980年には、1

Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders	
	The name of the na	
	The narrative not convey the collaboration	
	ve is ve the e	
	The narrative is vague and does of the narrative is clear but lacks not convey the essence of any details regarding collaboration collaboration	
	and do	
	es Ti	1
	The narrative any details re collaboration	
	rrative tails re ration	
	The narrative is cle any details regardin collaboration	
	lear bu	
	ut lack	
	The na	
	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration	
	e clea effor	
	rly de ts at c	
	monsi ollabo	
	rates	
9		

with a wide variety of key stakeholders		collaboration		
Total Points (Max. 10)				
Section IV. Maximum Total Points = 10				
Rated Element: Ethics (Honesty & Integrity)	(0-2 points)	(4·6 points)	(7-10 points) Total Points	Total Points
Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of Ethics	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Ethics	The narrative clearly demonstrates Ethics	·
Total Points (Max. 10)				10

	Comments:

Total Score =

7

Rater Name: Destie Forte 603 to

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.	Rated Element: Community Service  Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding community comprehensive community service service	(0-2 points) incomplete (4-6 points) Acceptable/Average points)Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Points
à		iotal Points

### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	engaged	that a cross-section of the community is	work group, club or organization. Ensure	Strong sense of connection to the cause	Rated Element Inclusiveness
		Not mentioned as a priority			(0-2 points)
	Inclusiveness	any details regarding	The narrative is clear but lacks		(4-6 points)
	Inclusiveness	activities that demonstrate	The narrative clearly demonstrates		(7-10 points)
O O					Total Points

20
ற
d E
₩.
151
വ
<b>0</b>
ីលិ
<b></b>
ឆ្នាំ
- 20 - 0
. 3.
77 FLOSE #1153474
45000
1.38 E.M.
<b>1755</b>
47754.30
TO A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE
CONTRACTOR
PER SECU
WWW.
LEAVE TO SE
VALUE OF A STATE
Ö
i i
<b>平温湖</b>
Carried Advances
and offered profes
1122
<b>200</b> 0年2月2日
24 (244) 53
(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
-
<b>o</b>
Z
Control of the contro
<b>建筑学习产</b>
2
nts)
46.40.703
1775 MARINE
Alexander's
Complex 2
Application of the control of the co
<b>3</b>
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u> </u>
<b>2</b>
<b>-2.</b>
(N)

Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders
	The narrative is vague and does The narrative is clear b not convey the essence of any details regarding collaboration
	ear but lacks The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration

Total Points (Max. 10)	Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.	Section IV. Maximum Total Points = 10 Rated Element: Ethics (Honesty & Integrity)
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of Ethics  The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Ethics	(0-2 points) (4-6 points)
	The narrative clearly demonstrates Ethics	(7-10 points) Total Points
HOM		Total Points

	Comments:
	General more officers Like him.

15

#### HUMAN SERVICES ADVISORY BOARD MEETING Thursday, September 28, 2017 6:00 PM

#### MARTIN LUTHER KING HUMANITARIAN AWARD NOMINEES TALLY SHEET

NAME	VOTES	COMMENTS
1. Mansura Minhas (1)	31	,
2. Marquerite Bryant (7)	38	
3. Anthony Sanders (17)	0	
4. Juliet Brown (2)	32	
5. Officer Martin Jackson III (2)	30	

Applicant Name: Marque Mundre

Total Score =

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

### Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	m	Rated Element: Community Service: (0-2 point)  Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
	does	(0-2 points) Incomplete (4-6 points) Acceptable/Average
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding community comprehensive community service activity	points)Complete/Excellent/Strong
	$\sim$	Foral Points

### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	work group, club or organization. Ensure that a cross-section of the community is engaged	Rated Element, Inclusiveness
	Not mentioned as a priority	(0-2-points)
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Inclusiveness	(4-6 points)
	The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate Inclusiveness	(7.10 points)
	_	Total Points

Ra	
ited E	
leme	
O N	
02	
15 A 15	
Gaglic Egypte	
ê.	
8	
8	
4	
9	
8	
7.1	
nts)	
1915 1915 1916	
Total	
<u> </u>	
िंद्व	

Community problem solving. May elect to				
	The narrative is vague and does	The narrative is clear but lacks	The narrative clearly demonstrates a	7
services. Establishment of partnerships	ne essence of	any details regarding	nummber of efforts at collaboration	×\
with a wide variety of key stakeholders	collaboration	collaboration		c
Total Points (Max. 10)				
1770		,如果我们的时候,我们就是一个时间的时候,我们就是一个时间,我们就是一个时间,我们就是一个时间,我们也是一个时间,我们也是一个时间,这一个时间,也是一个时间,也	を受ける場合のであるとのでは、これのない。 多い 何 これの 情報 ないだい しょうかい でいじょう おいれ アメニー	

Rated Element: Ethics (Honesty &
Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.  The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding Ethics  The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Ethics  The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Ethics
Total Points (Max. 10)

Γ				0
				Comments:
			٠	
				1,000
	 	 	_	

Applicant Name: Mangare A Frysk

Total Score = 4

×

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

### Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)		Rated Element: Community Service (0-2 points) incomplete  Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of community service	
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding community service	(4-6 points) Acceptable/Average Answer
	The narrative is vague and does narrative is clear but lacks not convey the essence of community service service service	points)Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Points
	Q	Total Points

#### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	that a cross-section of the community is engaged	Strong sense of connection to the cause work group, club or organization. Ensure	Rated Element: Inclusiveness
		ection to the cause	siveness.
	Not mentioned as a priority		(0-2 points)
	any details regarding Inclusiveness	The narrative is clear but lacks	(4-6 points)
	activities that demonstrate Inclusiveness	The narrative clearly demonstrates	* (7-10 points)
1990	9		Total Points

75
୍ଦି ଚ
O.
<u></u>
ાં ⊹ુ ∄
Ä
<u>o</u>
<u> </u>
ŏ
<u>a</u>
Q.
PERCENT.
E3454-0103
904 BREDE
<b>新发展</b>
(4) No. 10 (1)
(vice)
, N
. Č.
7.7
25 Y 1
us about
<b>建设</b>
The State of the S
а 6
AND STREET, ST
8
<b>2</b>
THE ST
- =
8
<u> </u>
. is
120
and the second
Total Po
o a
<u></u>
oin
oints
v

Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders	take w
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding collaboration	
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration	
	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration	
	$\tilde{C}$	

				Total Points (Max. 10)
) (	The narrative clearly demonstrates Ethics	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Ethics	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of Ethics	Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.
Total Points	(7-10 paints) Total Points	(4:6 points)	(0.2 points)	Rated Element: Ethics (Monesty &
-				Section IV. Maximum Total Points = 10
				Total Points (Max. 10)
10	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regar collaboration	community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders

		Comments:

Applicant Name: Avolution & Rater Name: Avolution & Ra

Total Score =

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

Section 1. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Folius (Miax. 10)	Total Dai: 100	e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.	to help the community and its residents,	Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended	Rated Element: Community Service
		not convey the essence of any details regarding community comprehensive community service	The narrative is vague and does. The narrative is clear but lacks.	Answer	(0-2 points) Incomplete
	(	)			Tal Points

### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)		Not mentioned as a priority		Strong sense of connection to the cause	Rated Element: Inclusiveness (0-2 points)
	Inclusiveness	any details regarding	The narrative is clear but lacks		(4=6 points)
	Inclusiveness	activities that demonstrate	The narrative clearly demonstrates		(Z-10 points)
		\ 			Total Points

Rated El
ement: Coll
aboration
(0- <b>2</b> -poi
ints)
(4-6 points
) (7-10 po
ints)
<b>.</b>
dal Points

Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders	
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of collaboration collaboration	
	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration	

Section IV. Maximum Total Points = 10 Rated Element. Ethics (Honesty & Integrity).	(0-2 points) (4-6 points) (7-10 points) Total Points	Total Points
Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding Ethics  The narrative is clear but lacks and demonstrates thics	0
Total Points (Max. 10)		

		Comments:

Applicant Name: Lucy Syaz

Total Score = 3)

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.	Rated Element: Community Service  Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, distributing or serving others, etc.  The narrative is vague and does any details regarding community comprehensive community service service  The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding community comprehensive community activity	(0-2 points) incomplete (4-6 points) Acceptable/Average points) Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Point

#### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Poin	engaged	that a cros	work grou	Strong ser	Rated Eler
Total Points (Max. 10)		that a cross-section of the community is	work group, club or organization. Ensure	Strong sense of connection to the cause	Rated Element: Inclusiveness
		Not mentioned as a priority			
		itioned :			(0-2 points)
		as a prio			oints)
		rity			
	Inclusiveness	any details regarding	The na		
	eness/	tails rega	rrative		4
		arding	is clear		(4-6 points
			The narrative is clear but lacks		
_	!nc	act			
	Inclusiveness	ivities t	e narrat		
	SS	activities that demonstrate	ive clear		(7-10
		onstrate	ly demo	San	points)
		(D	The narrative clearly demonstrates		
	×	2			Total Points

(77)
20 S
5
Č
<u></u>
- i
<b>5</b>
(A)
ta Qu.
₹ .
9
യ
9
- K. 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14
1.50 2.00
14 60 CAP (14 A C)
14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
\$41.10 Below
<b>网络数据</b>
NAME OF THE PARTY OF
The same of
AND WAY SEE
<b>以源</b>
A. 50 00 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
<b>建工程模型</b>
THE RESERVE
4 <b>O</b> %
N.
46 (CT) (CT)
ð
100000000000000000000000000000000000000
AND THE SECOND
W 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10
145
AND SAND
Zan Karan
1. 2000 EXPLOYED THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON
2
Car Carlo
<b>. . .</b> .
CONTRACTOR OF STREET
9
Ö
<b>和基础</b>
A Marian
A. Arsolts
and the latter
1. 14年2年 15年16日 18日本第二日
A 1820E
<b>.</b>
o To
10 ST 1
Total Poin
oints
េដ
429 020 38 3 1

Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders
	The narrative is vague and does of any details regardin collaboration
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration
	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration

Total Points (Max. 10) Section IV. <i>Maximum Total Points = 10</i>		
section IV. Maximum Total Points = 10		
Rated Element - Ethics (Honesty &	(4-6 points) (7-10 points) Total Points	Total Points
Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.  The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of Ethics	essence of any details regarding Ethics Ethics Ethics	
Total Points (Max. 10)		

	Comments:

Rater Name:

Applicant Name:

Total Score =

Rater should assign a point value based of the Nominee's Application

Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

			4	Total Points (Max. 10)
<u> </u>	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding community comprehensive community service activity	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding community service service	The narrative is vague and do not convey the essence of community service	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, distributing or serving others, etc.  community service
Total Points	(7-10 points)Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Poir	(4-6 points) Acceptable/Average Answer	(0-2 points) incomplete	Rated Element: Community Service (0-2 points) incomplete  Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended

#### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	Strong sense of connection to the cause work group, club or organization. Ensure that a cross-section of the community is engaged	Rated Element Inclusiveness
	Not mentioned as a priority	(0-2 points)
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Inclusiveness	(4-6 points)
	The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate Inclusiveness	1. (7.10 points)
	$\infty$	Total Points

1 23	
<u> </u>	
(0)	
ed E	
ര	:
_	
	į
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
0	į
	٠.
QJ.	
g g	
9	
gu i	:
_ [	١
	i
No. of the second	ı
	Į
	ł
- 1. Sept. 1.	١
	ļ
17.00	ļ
	ŀ
80 W 18 W	1
2002 M 125 CM	
2 AF YEL 200	
<b>克克克里克克斯</b> 克克	
3065.46	
2.48	
20 Carlotter	
- <b></b>	
6150 <b>3</b> 11-77	
1	
<b>一种边际</b>	
李华	
75 30 W 75 X 80	
4,000	
THE STATE OF	
<b>通用分数</b>	
高牌 學之	
34. 图解报题	
2	
10.2	
33.精神的1950	
Care 1 (1)	
5	
70	
- 4.5 f	
6	
ા ડિ	
ACMARKE ACCUSED 1	
TO CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF THE	
Branch Company of the	
200	
<b>建建筑</b>	
[基础数]开第一	
<b>原理整理</b>	
108 C. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
Tota	
7	
<u>  •</u>	
<b></b>	
Points	

Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding collaboration	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration
<i>S</i> , <i>S</i> ,	not convey the essence of any details regarding collaboration	The nan
Total Points (Max. 10)		

Total Points (Max. 10)	Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.	Rated Element Ethics (Honesty & ) Integrity)
	the narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Ethics	(0-2 points) (4-6 points)
	The narrative clearly demonstrates Ethics	(7-10 points) Total Points
	×	Total Points

	Comments:

MV

#### HUMAN SERVICES ADVISORY BOARD MEETING Thursday, September 28, 2017 6:00 PM

#### MARTIN LUTHER KING HUMANITARIAN AWARD NOMINEES TALLY SHEET

NAME	VOTES	COMMENTS
1. Mansura Minhas (1)	40	
2. Marquerite Bryant (7)		
at interpolate Diguit (1)	40	
3. Anthony Sanders (17)	8	
4. Juliet Brown (2)		
	/8	
5. Officer Martin Jackson III (2)	36	

Applicant Name: WEREAMET

Total Score = 40

Rater Name:

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	Óσ	Rated Element: Community Service  Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding community service service The narrative clearly demonstrates community activity	(0-2 points) Incomplete (4-6 points) Acceptable/Average points) Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Points

#### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	Strong sense of connection to the cause work group, club or organization. Ensure that a cross-section of the community is engaged	Rated Element: Inclusiveness
	Not mentioned as a priority	(0-2 points)
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding inclusiveness	(4-6 points)
	The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate Inclusiveness	(7/10 points)
10		Total Points

ဉ်
Rated Fle
17
্ত
1.283
<u>.e</u>
<b>.</b>
ြ
D.
Collaboration
Ora
- 3
Ö
126777
<b>"学"等等</b>
1974 S. 1874
SENSEME.
Sept 10
and the second
A STATE OF
O
Salar Barry
10 <b>8</b> 0
~ <b>9</b> ,
THE WAY
136 (352.14)
######################################
100
<u></u>
<b>I</b>
. O1
2
<u> </u>
<b>7</b>
- 6
<b>.</b> .
₽.
7
<u>د</u>
2156 A 2156
<b>建聚的</b>
4.14
A CONTRACTOR
AND SHE
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18.00
9
() ' <u>o</u>
(C)
<b>⊘</b>
2
(A)

Total Points (Wax. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding collaboration
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration
	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration
10	·

	Comments:

Applicant Name: 1014 N Sury 1011N 1485

Rater Name: MARRY VCKES

Total Score = 40

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

### Section I. - Waximum Total Points = 10

does The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding community service	Total Points (Max. 10)	970	Rated Element: Community Service (0-2 points) Incomplete  Voluntary and/or unpaid work intended
I_		does The narrative is clea any details regarding service	(4-6 points) Acceptable/Average points)Complete Answer

### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

,					
Total Points (Max. 10)	engaged	that a cross-section of the community is	work group, club or organization. Ensure	Strong sense of connection to the cause	Rated Element: Inclusiveness
		10N	-		
		mer			
		uora			
1 W		e വ പ	-		70
		S S			(0-2 points)
		Not mentioned as a priority	•		
		ίţ			Telepin Telepin Telepin
		. 0)			
	Inclusiveness	any details regarding	The narrative is clear but lacks	<u> </u>	
	sivei	ietai	arra		
	γess	ls re	itive	:	1
		gard	S		- T
		ang	lear	-	
			jng	•	s) :
			ack		
	incl	activities that demonstrate	The narrative clearly demonstrates		
	Inclusiveness	vitie	narı		
	nes	s tha	ativ	-	
		t de	ecle		(7-1 (7-1
		mon	arly	٠.	0 8 2
		strat	dem		35
		æ	onst		
			rate		Lings in
			S		
					ੜ੍ਹ
0					otal Poin
					ints

ີ ຄົນ
_
102
1 0 ID
O
3
Vac. 10.00
2
=
ல
0
1300
- VE - 12
- 100 men 1
100703-0733
1.34 Berlin
CONTRACTOR
The state of the state of the
<b>【新教科学</b>
THE PARTY OF
A MENNY
<b>一种基础</b>
(李) (秦) (秦) (秦) (秦) (秦) (秦) (秦) (秦) (秦) (秦
2000
Carlos Alexander
<b>200</b>
No.
100
2
\$ <b>6</b> 7
4.4
<b>是是自己的</b>
5.00
4. 数据的结果
1. 医性性性
<b>建设成</b>
A CONTRACTOR
A Designation of the last of t
<b>阿拉斯斯斯</b>
- A. M A.
A STATE OF THE STA
•
Mile Mari
THE OWNER
0
( O )
and the second
7
1 6
10 mg
ESCHERIC TERRITOR TO THE CASE
<b>医多种多</b> 次
<b>S)</b>
Jest meta k I
ALCO PAGE
20 20 20 20
<b>基础的现在分</b> 数
To
Tot
Total
Total
Total
Total
Total Po

Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders
	The narrative is vague and does of the narrative is clear but lacks not convey the essence of any details regarding collaboration collaboration
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration
	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration
10	

Total Points (Max. 10)	Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.	Rated Element. Ethics (Honesty & Integrity)	Section IV. Maximum Total Points = 10	Total Points (Max. 10)	
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of Ethics	(0-2-points) (4-6 points)			
	The narrative clearly demonstrates Ethics	) (7-10 points) Total Points			
10		Total Points		10	

	Comments:

Applicant Name: 1+NThony Source

Rater Name: MIFRY VERCES

Total Score = (

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

### Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.	Rated Element: Community Service (0-2 points) Incomplete  Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
	The narrative is vague and does   The narrative is clear but lacks   not convey the essence of   community service   service   The narrative clearly demonstrates   comprehensive community service   activity	(0-2 points) Incomplete (4-6 points) Acceptable/Average points) Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Points

### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	engaged		work group, club or organization. Ensure	Strong sense of connection to the cause	Rated Element: Inclusiveness
	***************************************	Not mentioned as a priority		-	(0-2 points)
	Inclusiveness	any details regarding	r but lacks		(4-6 points)
	Inclusiveness	activities that demonstrate	The narrative clearly demonstrates		(7-10 points)
	Z				Total Points

·	-
1 7	6
	,
<u>σ</u>	,
C C	Ł
п	
Ti rit	•
	į
1 70	i
- N	i
	۲
ndied Frement: Louis	•
S S	1
6.0	
5	i
- 0	
6	į
ooration	
ō	•
5	9
*N.91-72	ì
SE SECTION	
	ì
1.44	
- 建基金配金	
1 04 04 20	
37	•
200	:
42 - 50 - 10 to 10	
	è
3) 24 a 54	
\$20/\$17.50	
	í
300000	
	į
	ĺ
(本學)(2)	
74 1 3 m 1 m	
todischer nicht	
	i
No.	
Mar <b>a</b> 20	
ō	•
U)	Š
	è
在於學學	
	3
· 例解性。	
	÷
1. 1500 (1) 第2世紀 (1) 10 PM	Š
	5
CONTRACT SPACES	
	The state of the s
	こうかん 一大きない かんしゅうしょう
	こうではない 大きない こので これをかけ
	たいかん できない かんしん かんしん
	こうでは、 できる こうしょう いんしょう
	こうかん はない かい かんきかけいかん
4	こうかん はない かい いんきかけい かんかん
	たっているとは、 はい こうしい 人生 アメファンス かんかいかん
4.6	たっていることは、これでは、一人ともは、これでは、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これに
(4-6 p	たったので、まないのでは、 一人ともまついませんが、 たからだけない
(4-6 po	こうかんしゅう かんしん 人生のようにはなかれたからないないできます
(4.6 poin	をつかのできた。 はは、これには、 は、これには、 は、これには、 は、これには、 は、これには、 は、これには、 は、これには、 は、これには、 は、これには、 は、これには、 に、 に、 に、 に、 に、 に、 に、 に、 に、 に
(4-6 points	たっていると、はないというと、これにはないできないのできない。 これにはない これにない
(4-6 points)	こうかのない。 はないのかい かんきょうい はいかんかんかん ないない はいしょう
(4-6 points)	大力の かんしゅう ひんしん いんしかけつ いきかんかん かんかん はいない かいしゅうしゅう
(4-6 points) (7	たっていると、ははないのでは、これは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これで
(4-6 points)	たっていると、はは、これには、これにおけているとのできない。これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、
(4-6 points)	大力を持ちた。 はないが、 はいこうかん カラフトはいのかないがったい はいない かいしゅう かいしょう アフト・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・
(4-6 points) (7-10 p	のでは、100mのでは、
(4-6 points) (7-10 po	である。これでは、1910年のでは、1910年のは、1910年のでは、19
(4-6 points)	である。これでは、100mmのでは、これでは、これでは、100mmの方式を持ちている。 100mmの方式を対しませない。 100mmの方式を対していました。 100mmの方式を対していません。 100mmの方式を対しでは、100mmの方式を対しているではないません。 100mmの方式を対しでは、100mmの方式を対しているではないません。 1
(4-6 points) (7-10 point	である。これは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、こ
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	からであるから、通信のであるとはないとかは、かけらればいのであるのであるとなってはないできない。 またしま ままり 見ませる アイ・・フェン・フェン・ファ
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	である。 1000 miles 1000 miles かいかい かいかい かいかい 1000 miles
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	である。 できない は、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これで
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	のでは、100mのでは、
(4-6 points) (7:10 points)	のでは、100mのでは、
(4-6 points) (7:10 points)	である。 1900年の大学のでは、1900年の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の
(4-6 points)	のでは、100mのでは、
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	では、これでは、1910年の日本のでは、1910年の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	である。これでは、1900年には、1900年に対象を表現している。 1900年には、19
(4-6 points)	である。これでは、1900年の1900年の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	である。これは、1900年のでは、1900年の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	では、これのでは、1910年のでは、1910年の日本のでは、1910年の日本のでは、1910年の日本のでは、1910年の日本のでは、1910年の日本のでは、1910年の日本のでは、1910年の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の
(4-6 points)	である。 1900年の1900年の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の
(4-6 points)	では、これのでは、他は、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これで
(4-6 points) (7=10 points)	できた。「一般のできた。」では、「ないでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、「ないできない。」では、「ないできない。「ないできない。」できない。「ないできない」では、「ないできない」では、「ないできない これできない これがら これがら これがら これがら これがら これがら これがら これがら
(4-6 points)	である。 1900年の1900年の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の
(4-6 points)	である。 1900年の1900年の日の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日
(4-6 points)	である。 では、一般のでは、一般のでは、これが、これが、これが、これが、これが、これが、これが、これが、これが、これが
(4-6 points)	である。 である。 では、これは、これは、これは、これは、これは、これは、これは、これは、これは、これ
(4-6 points)	10.10 10.00 10.10
(4-6 points)	である。 1900年の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の
(4-6 points)	19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19.
(4-6 points)	である。これは、100mmのでは、10
(4-6 points)	である。これでは、1900年には、1900年に対象がある。これでは、1900年には、19
(4-6 points)	である。これでは、1900年には、1900年に対しては、1900年に対しては、1900年に対しては、1900年には、1900年には、1900年には、1900年に対しては、1900年に対しては、1900年には、1900

 it to	The narrative is vague and does The narrative is clenot convey the essence of any details regardin collaboration	ear but lacks	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration
with a wide variety of key stakeholders	-	collaboration	nummber of efforts at collaboratic

Total Points (Max. 10)	Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.  The narrative is vague and not convey the essence of Ethics	Section IV. Maximum Total Points = 10 Rated Element: Ethics (Honesty & Integrity).
	does The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Ethics	(0-2 points) (4-6 points)
	The narrative clearly demonstrates Ethics	(7-10 points) Total Points
	0	Total Points

		Comments:
,		

Applicant Name: Julice!

MAKA VERES

Rater Name: \_

Total Score = /8

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

Section I. - Waximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.	Rated Element: Community Service
	does The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding community service	(0-2 points) incomplete (4-6 points) Acceptable/Average
	The narrative clearly demonstrates comprehensive community service activity	points)Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Points

### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	Strong sense of connection to the cause work group, club or organization. Ensure that a cross-section of the community is engaged	Rated Element: Inclusiveness
	Not mentioned as a priority and in	(0-2 points)
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding inclusiveness	(4-6 paints)
	The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate inclusiveness	(7.10 points)
		Total Points

77
ಲ್ಲಿ
- 1
<u> </u>
- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
1/1/mit CT
8
Š.
20
更基本的原理
Shiela was
State Sans
<b>阿尔斯斯斯斯斯</b>
September 1
李杨俊,18
<b>非国际</b>
100
5% 學療心
<b>建超级</b>
6
Ţ
100 min 6
<b>.</b>
Or Marie 12
2821544596599
33 SP (1)
<b>对性发展</b> 来
<b>网络沙鸡</b>
A CONTRACTOR
34 C 34 C
<b>西州原州</b>
T
9
<b>10</b>
<b>  2,</b>
2
io ·
COMPANIES COMPANIES
7.1
AR STREET
10 m
ă
ints
S.
Vig 18: 14: 1
<b>《元本》</b>
H. 3-130 (374)
130-5
233400000
A STATE OF THE STA
177 Table 201 Ta
<b>3</b>
o'
<b>11</b>
Po
S
U)

Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders
	The narrative is vague and does   The narrative is cle not convey the essence of   any details regardin   collaboration
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration
	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration
4	

Total Points (Max. 10)	Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.	Section IV. Maximum Total Points = 10 Rated Element Ethics (Honesty & Integrity)	Total Points (Max. 10)
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of Ethics  The narrative is clear but lacks the narrative clearly demonstrates any details regarding Ethics	(0-2 points) (7-10 points) Total Points	
		)tal Points	4

		Comments:

Applicant Name: MARTIN JACKSON

Rater Name: MRKy Vestc

Total Score = 30

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

Section 1. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.	Rated Element: Community Service  Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of community service service The narrative clearly demonstrates community service The narrative clearly demonstrates community activity	(0-2 points) Incomplete (4-6 points) Acceptable/Average points)Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Points
10		otal Points

#### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	Strong sense of connection to the cause work group, club or organization. Ensure that a cross-section of the community is engaged	Rated Element: Inclusiveness
	Not mentioned as a priority	(0-2 points)
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding inclusiveness	(4-6 points)
	The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate inclusiveness	(7.10 points)
10		Total Points

-
Rated
O.
len.
្តី
T C
. <b>.</b> .
5
, ja
0
(多数) (1)
STÇASENS P. MARY 1951
11345
7.50 <b>46.</b> 555
Official St
25
6
10°
S.
6
6. *665* 1360*
Letter by
<b>.</b>
.8
G.
1
9
Š
- 5
1 海绵等 1 网络
1977/4
Total
otal
23,70 men ha
Points
8

Community problem solving. May elect to	# · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding collaboration	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration
Total Points (Max. 10)		

Total Points (Max. 10)	Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.	Rated Element: Ethics (Honesty & Integrity)
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding Ethics  The narrative is vague and does The narrative clearly demonstrates Ethics	(0-2 points) (7-10 points) Total Point
6)		Total Points

	Comments:



#### HUMAN SERVICES ADVISORY BOARD MEETING Thursday, September 28, 2017 6:00 PM

#### MARTIN LUTHER KING HUMANITARIAN AWARD NOMINEES TALLY SHEET

NAME	VOTES	COMMENTS
1. Mansura Minhas (1)		
	24	
2. Marquerite Bryant (7)		
	196	
3. Anthony Sanders (17)		
	40	
4. Juliet Brown (2)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	35	
5. Officer Martin Jackson III (2)	1/6	-
	1.40	

Applicant Name: MODSURON MINHOS

Total Score = 27

Rater Name: QUNEA FORDON

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

### Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.	Rated Element: Community Service (0-2 points) Incomplete
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of community service service the narrative is vague and does any details regarding community comprehensive community service activity	(0-2 points) Incomplete (4-6 points) Acceptable/Average points) Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Points
	1	otal Points

#### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Rated Element: Inclusiveness  (C2 points)  (4-6 points)  Strong sense of connection to the cause work group, club or organization. Ensure that a cross-section of the community is engaged  Not mentioned as a priority engaged  (C2 points)  (A-6 points)  The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding inclusiveness  The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate inclusiveness.	-4	n		5	S	70
(0-2 points) (4-6 points)  The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Inclusiveness  (7-10 points)  (7-10 points)  Inclusiveness	otal Points (Max. 10)	ngaged			rong sense of connection to the cause	
The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Inclusiveness  (7-10 points)  The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate Inclusiveness			Not me			
The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Inclusiveness  (7-10 points)  The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate Inclusiveness			ntionec			6.2
The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Inclusiveness The narrative clearly demonstrates Inclusiveness.			i as a p	-		points
but lacks The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate Inclusiveness.			riority	•		
but lacks The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate Inclusiveness.		====	<u>a</u>		<u></u>	
thut lacks The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate inclusiveness.		clusive	ηy deta	ોe narr		
r but lacks The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate Inclusiveness.		ness	ils rega	ative i	·	(4-)
(7-10 points)  The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate inclusiveness.			arding	s clear	_	o point
(7-10 points)  The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate inclusiveness.				but la	-	<b>s)</b>
		Inclusi	activiti	The na		
		/eness	es that	rrative		
		,	demo	clearly	,	7-10 p
			nstrate	/ demo		oints)
			``	nstrate		ere da
tal Point				S		
		_	_	t		rtal Point

7	
] වූ	
(0)	
	:
Kated Elen	
<u></u>	
	į
<u> </u>	
100 (100) P	
4.000/3	
	þ
<b>_</b>	
00	
- Q	
_ [	
D.	
	•
Q	
i ja ja tai tailija.	,
	-
13.45	
4-14-5-5-2	
77.7	
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	
St. St. mi	
(文化) 2 (A)	3
nent: Collaboration	
San San San	ė
CAGA CALL	
与强制的标	
1000	
	٠
<b>泛。被警察</b> 企	
(G)	į
<b>建设设置</b>	
8	3
	Ì
2 S	
Ch.	
10.16	
25885956	
SECTION 1	
2000	
THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN	٩
	Ġ
Sec. 5th	
	The second secon
	TO THE PARTY OF TH
	TO A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE
	からから まなが して こうしき かっぱい ガランド ないがく
7)	The second secon
( <u>a</u>	からかって まるが しょう こうしょう かっぱい かんしゅう しゅうしゅう
(4-6	いっちょう はい ちゃ こうしょう はっぱい かっかい ないない はいない
(4-6 p	のからしません かっこうしょう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう
(4-6 poj	いっちょう すいかい こうこうじょう かいしょう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう
( <b>4-6 po</b> int	
(4-6 points	TOTAL   100
(4-6 points)	ののからしません かっこうじゅう こうけい かいかいじゅうし かいしゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう はましまします
(4-6 points)	のからしまるのです。 のないである ここのな からから かんかん かんしゅう かんしゅう はず ようしゅうしゃ
(4-6 points)	のうしょう かんしょう かんしょう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう はない アンド・ランド・ファン・ファン・ファン・ファン・ファン・ファン・ファン・ファン・ファン・ファン
(4-6 points) (7-10	いっかい はいかいこう かいしょうかい こうじょう かいかい かんしゅう かいかい かいしゅう はず カラション・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・
(4-6 points) (7-10.)	いっかい はいかい かっかい かいかい かいかい はいかい はいかい ないかい かいかい かいかい かい
(4-6 points) (7-10 po	いいかいしょうか しゅうじゅう かいかい はっかい かいかい はない はない はない ないしゅうしゅう しゅうしゅうしゅうしゅう
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	ののでは、または、このでは、100mg 100mg 100mg 100mg 100mg 100mg 100mg 110mg 11
(4-6 points) (7-10 point	TO SHARE THE STATE OF THE PARTY
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	のでは、100mのでは、
(4-6 points)	THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF TH
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	のでは、100mmの
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	のでは、またのでは、100mのでは、100mのでは100mのでは100mのでは、100mのでは、100mのでは、100mのでは、100mのでは100mのでは、100mのでは100mのでは、100mのでは
(4-6 points)	ののから、またが、100mのではなっていた。 対力 プロスプロスを持ちたいない Andrews のできる オール・ファイト・ファイト・ファイト・ファイト・ファイト・ファイト・ファイト・ファイト
(4-6 points)	ののからなるというできない。 から からない はない からから からない かんしゅう はま ままた ままた こうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	ののから、またが、これでは、1970年の19
(4-6 points)	THE RESERVE WAS AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	THE RESERVE WAS A TOTAL TO A PROPERTY OF THE P
(4-6 points)	ののからできるが、1970年のでは、1970年のでは1970年の19
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	ののからできるが、1970年の日本のでは、1970年の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の
(4-6 points)	ののからできるが、1977年のでは、1977年の1978
(4-6 points)	ののでは、10mmのでは
(4.6 points) (7-10 points)	ののからないません。 できない 100mg
(4-6 points)	THE PARTY AND TH
(4-6 points)	ののでは、1900年のでは、1900年の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の
(4-6 points)	のでは、100mmの
(4-6 points) (7-10 points) Tota	ののからできるが、1000 Min 1900 Min 1
(4-6 points) (7-10 points) Total	TOTAL TOTAL CONTROL TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO
(4-6 points) (7-10 points) Total Po	TOTAL TOTAL CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO
(4-6 points) (7-10 points) Total Points	のでは、100mmの
(4-6 points) (7-10 points) Total Point	TOTAL

Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders
	The narrative is vague and does The narrative is cle not convey the essence of any details regardin collaboration
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration
	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration
	0

Section IV. Maximum Total Points = 10  Rated Element: Ethics (Honesty &			
Rated Element: Ethics (Honesty & Integrity)	(4-6 points)	(7-10 points) Total Points	Total Points
Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of Ethics	The narrative clearly demonstrates Ethics	Lo
Total Points (Max. 10)			

		Comments:	
		,	

Applicant Name: MORDANA GORDANA
Rater Name: QUNGA GORDANA

Total Score = 36

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

#### Section I. - Waximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	φū	Rated Element: Community Service (0-2 points) incomplete
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of community service service The narrative clearly demonstrates activity	(0-2 paints) Incomplete (4-6 paints) Acceptable/Average points) Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Points
	V	ital Points

#### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	Strong sense of connection to the cause work group, club or organization. Ensure that a cross-section of the community is engaged	Rated Element: Inclusiveness
	sure Not mentioned as a priority	(0-2 points)
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Inclusiveness	(4-6 points)
	The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate Inclusiveness	(7-10 points)
	0	Total Points

<u></u>
27
$\Omega$
-ro
Ω.
/ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
_ (
3/
6
<b>.</b>
1
ŏ
4.00 m
20
<b>7</b>
The same of the
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
2. 7. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.
Activity of the second
4.70 8.000
7 8 4 - La Add
an Notario
The state of the state of
TO THE SECOND
<b>的</b> 种种 10
2.2.2.5
可能的場合
12000000000000000000000000000000000000
透透透透
6
$T_{\bullet}$
1.0
Section 2
學等機能
470
La Record
4.0
<b>建工程</b>
京 4000
100
A STATE OF THE STA
<b>使服成44</b> 分
to the same
Commence of the Commence of th
E O
<b>9.</b>
2
6
13/9 mg \$50.00
F521-525
<b>po</b> in
l g
<b>新维护的</b> 。600
NAME OF STREET
and Salar Selection of the Control
SWA
1246.4
ាសិ -
al P
otal Poi
O n
1
· · ·

Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized not services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regard collaboration
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration
	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration
	(S)

				Total Points (Wax. 10)
S	The narrative clearly demonstrates Ethics	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Ethics	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of Ethics	Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.
Total Point	) (7-10 points) Total Point	(4-6 points)	(0-2 points)	Rated Element Ethics (Honesty & Integrity)
				Section IV. Maximum Total Points = 10
				Total Points (Max. 10)
(C)	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration	Ine narrative is vague and does The narrative is clean to convey the essence of any details regarding collaboration	contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders

		Comments:
,		

Applicant Name: ANDA SINGS
Rater Name: QUNCA SINGS

Total Score = 40

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

#### Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.	Rated Element Community Service (0-2 points) incomplete " Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.  The narrative is vague and does any details regarding community service	(0-2 points) incomplete (4-6 points) Acceptal Answer
	garding community comprehensive clearly demonstrates community comprehensive community service	ole/Average
	rly demonstrates	points)Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Points

### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	work group, club or organization. Ensure that a cross-section of the community is engaged	Rated Element: Inclusiveness
	Not mentioned as a priority	(0-2 points)
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Inclusiveness	(4-6 points)
	The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate Inclusiveness	(7-10 points)
	0	Total Points

na de
/ / / / A
1 00 mm A
i i
- Partin
red Element: Collaboration
34408
WAR A
77.20,786
20
9
56 W.
\$2.25 A.
<b>大學學學學</b>
7.23 B.A
1.000
A 14.4
5 Q (47)
PARTICIPATE AND P
10 February
<b>第一条</b>
9
-N
35 6 6 6 5 F
<b>10</b>
244
26.0
#19 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
<b>2000 000000000000000000000000000000000</b>
in.
(0-2 points)
(4
(4.1
(4.6
(4-6 p
(4-6 poi
1 <b>4-6 p</b> ốin
(4-6 points
(4-6 points)
(4-6 points)
(4-6 points)
(4-6 points)
(4-6 points) (7-10
(4-6 points) (7-10-p
(4-6 points) [7-10 po
(7-10 poii
(4-6 points) (7-10 point
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)
(4-6 points)
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)
(4-6 points)
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)
(4-6 points)
(4-6 points) (7-10 points):
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)
(4-6 points) (7-10 points) To
(4-6 points) (7-10 points). Tota
(4-6 points) (7-10 points) Total
(4-6 points) (7-10 points) Total P
(4-6 points) (7-10 points) Total Poi
(4-6 points) (7-10 points) Total Poin
(4-6 points) Total Points

Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding collaboration collaboration	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration	0
Total Points (Max. 10)			

Total Points (Max. 10)	Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.	Rated Element: Ethics (Honesty & Integrity)
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding Ethics  The narrative is clear but lacks and convey the narrative clearly demonstrates and the narrative is clear but lacks. The narrative clearly demonstrates and the narrative is clear but lacks. The narrative clearly demonstrates and the narrative is clear but lacks.	(0-2 points) (7-10 points) Total Po
	8	Total Points

				Comments:
PATRICULAR PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PATRICULAR PARTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PATRICULAR PA				
	-	*	٠	777748888888888888888888888888888888888
				**************************************

Applicant Name: Juli H BRWN
Rater Name: QUNEA SOODO

Total Score = んり

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.	Rated Element: Community Service (0-2 points) Incomplete  Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
	does The narrative is cle any details regardin service	(0-2 points) Incomplete (4-6 points) Acceptable/Average
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding community comprehensive community service activity	points)Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Points
		Total Points

Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

				Total Points (Max. 10)
(3)	The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate Inclusiveness	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Inclusiveness	Not mentioned as a priority	work group, club or organization. Ensure that a cross-section of the community is engaged
Total Points	(7=10 points)	(4-6 paints)	(0-2 points)	Rated Element: Inclusiveness

Rate
d Eler
nent:
orati
'n
6.2
oo in is
o Po
ints)
7.10
ooints
Total
il Point
ß

Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to Contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders	
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding collaboration	
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration	
	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration	
	V	YA-WATE

				Total Points (Max. 10)
	The narrative clearly demonstrates Ethics	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Ethics	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of Ethics	Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.
Total Points	(7-10 points) Total Points	(4-6 points)	(0-2 paints)	Rated Element: Ethics (Honesty & Integrity)
				Section IV. Maximum Total Points = 10
				Total Points (Max. 10)
V	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration	t convey the essence of any details regarding collaboration	not convey the essence of collaboration	services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders

				Comments:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	y			
			,	

Applicant Name: MARAY FORDON
Rater Name: QURRA FORDON

Total Score = 40

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

Section 1. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	and its residents, ting and preparing, others, etc.	Rated Element: Community Service (0.2 points) Incomplete  Voluntary and/or unnaid work intended
	does The narrative is clea any details regarding service	(0-2 paints) Incomplete (4-6 paints) Acceptable/Average Answer
	r but lacks The narrative clearly demonstrates community comprehensive community service activity	points)Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Points
	0	Total Points

#### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

				Total Points (Max. 10)
100	The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate Inclusiveness	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding inclusiveness	Not mentioned as a priority	Strong sense of connection to the cause work group, club or organization. Ensure that a cross-section of the community is engaged
Total Po	(Z=10 pgints)	(4.6 points)	(0-2 points)	Rated Element Inclusiveness

Kale
Q T
eme
်
<u> </u>
řat
- Q
kan di salah s
9 (3/Ms)
The Section
Les Populations
6
Ŋ
Din.
2
3460 to
Frank W
ត
, <b>D</b>
15)
7
10
nts)
43 /6 / E
A STATE OF THE STA
Art States
Total
<u> </u>
O
ints

arrative is vague and does Internative is clear but lacks invey the essence of any details regarding collaboration Collaboration	Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized not conservices. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders
The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration		The narrative is vague and does of the narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration to convey the essence of the narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration
		The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration

contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders	The narrative is vague and does of the narrative is clear but lacks on the convey the essence of the collaboration of the narrative is clear but lacks. The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration to collaboration.	6
Total Points (Wax. 10)		
Section IV. Maximum Total Points = 10		
Rated Element: Ethics (Honesty & ; integrity)	(0:2 points) (7-10 points) Total Points	Total Points
Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding Ethics  The narrative is vague and does the narrative clearly demonstrates thics	Ò
Total Points (Max. 10)		<u> </u>

			Comments:
	-		
		,	



#### HUMAN SERVICES ADVISORY BOARD MEETING Thursday, September 28, 2017 6:00 PM

#### MARTIN LUTHER KING HUMANITARIAN AWARD NOMINEES TALLY SHEET

NAME	VOTES	COMMENTS
1. Mansura Minhas (1)		
1. Iviansura iviimas (1)	25	•
2. Marquerite Bryant (7)	36	
3. Anthony Sanders (17)	46	
4. Juliet Brown (2)	33	
5. Officer Martin Jackson III (2)	38	

Applicant Name: Mansura Minhas
Rater Name: Jehorah Brown

Total Score =

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

#### Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, distributing or serving others, etc.  The narrative is vague and does any details regarding community comprehensive community service service	Rated Element: Community Service (0-2 points) incomplete
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of community service	
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding community service	(4-6 points) Acceptable/Average Answer
	r but lacks The narrative clearly demonstrates community comprehensive community service activity	points)Complete/Excellent/Strong
		Total Points

#### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	Strong sense of connection to the cause work group, club or organization. Ensure that a cross-section of the community is engaged	Rated Element: Inclusiveness
S. Arre	Not mentioned as a priority	(0-2 points)
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Inclusiveness	(4-6 points)
	The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate Inclusiveness	(7-10 points)
M		Total Points

(0:2 points) (7:10-poi
(0-2 points) (4-6 points)
(0-2 points) (4-6 points) (7-10 points)
(0-2 points) (4-6 points) (7-10 points)
(0-2 points) (4-6 points)
(0-2 points) (4-6 points) (7-10 points)
(0-2 points) (4-6 points) (7-10 points)
(0-2 points) (4-6 points) (7-10 points)
(0-2 points) (4-6 points) (7-10 points)
(0-2 points) (4-6 points) (7-10 points)
ints) (4.6 points) (7-10 points)
ints) (4.6 points) (7-10 points)
ints) (4.6 points) (7-10 points)
ints) (4.6 points) (7-10 points)
ints) (4.6 points) (7-10 points)
ints) (4.6 points) (7-10 points)
ints) (4.6 points) (7-10 points)
ints) (4.6 points) (7-10 points)
ints) (4.6 points) (7-10 points)
ints) (4.6 points) (7-10 points)
ints) (4.6 points) (7-10 points)
(4.6 points) (7-10 points)
(4.6 points) (7-10 points)
(4.6 points) (7-10 points)
(4.6 points) (7-10 points)
(4.6 points) (7-10 points)
(4.6 points) (7-10 points)
(4.6 points) (7-10 points)
(4.6 points) (7-10 points)
(4.6 points) (7-10 points)
(4.6 points) (7-10 points)
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)
ě.
3
SALES EN
<b>18)</b>
A Company A Company
Polypa Service Polypas Service
Section 1997
e deper
PARTEE!
E GARAGE
<b>提</b> 明图25年
- A
5
<b>6</b>
1 / O / 1
1.2 (2) (基本: 1.2 (1.2 (1.2 (1.2 (1.2 (1.2 (1.2 (1.2
. <b></b>

Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders  Total Points (Max. 10)	
The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of collaboration  The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration	
The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration	

,1	(T) (N) (A)		٦,,		1
Total Points (Wax. 10)	Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.	Rated Element: Ethics (Honesty &	Section IV. Maximum Total Points = 10	Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of Ethics	(0-2 points)			The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of collaboration
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Ethics	(4-6 points)			The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding collaboration
	The narrative clearly demonstrates Ethics	(7-10 points) Total Point			The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration
5		Total Points		(J)	

	Comments:
	!
	•

Applicant Name: Nowa and Brown Rater Name: Deborah Brown

Total Score = 36

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

#### Section I. - Waximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.	Rated Element: Community Service (0-2 points) Incomplete  Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.  The narrative is vague and does any details regarding community comprehensive community service service service	(0-2 points) Incomplete (4-6 points) Acceptable/Average points) Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Points

#### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

	Total Points (Max. 10)	Strong sense of connection to the cause work group, club or organization. Ensure that a cross-section of the community is engaged	Rated Element: Inclusiveness
		Not mentioned as a priority	(0-2: points)
		The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Inclusiveness	(4-6 points)
		The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate Inclusiveness	(7.10 points)
-	Ō		Total Points

	7
	ΩŲ
	6
and the first	ő
100	
	17
- J 12 44	O
	-7
1000	ቭ
	÷
114.75	₹.
	•
1.00	
1.000	ď
主義學術	
100000	03.
	ਛ
1	ŏ
1.500	₹,
	DĎ.
1.00	c.
<ul> <li>各面数据</li> </ul>	Ο.
	3
1.00	100
140.5	
10.00	å
45 24 CV	13
3.737	
- Table 1	1.
1 Sec. 15	14
37.73	W
	4
Same S	
e Cara	
<b>一种数数数</b>	1.75
ALLE HARD	
196	. 1
TO THE SEC	ڊڻا <u>ءِ</u>
3000 100	
1 外報で	1
4.5	i lite
SAME NA	
<b>子连是第</b>	G.
S. 654-5	ul-a
(0-2 point	
	05
- D. D.	3
	4
- O	10
. 0	21
<b>多名書</b> 學	
	115
i u	Y.
	W.,
2200	ď
222	9
2000	1
10 1 W 2 2 7 9 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ij,
望れる歌	ar.
7.00	
300	
	が、大きのでは
	10000000000000000000000000000000000000
(4)	· 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
(4.6	
(4.6)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(4-6-p	
(A-6 po	
(4-6 poin	
(A-6 point	
(4-6 points)	
(4-6 points) (4-10	
(4-6 points) (4-10	
(4-6 points) (4-10 p	
(4-6 points) (7-10 po	
(4-6 points) (4-10 points)	
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	
(4-6 points) (4-10 points)	
(4-6 points) (4-10 points)	
(4-6 points)	
(4:6 points)	
(4-6 points)	
(4-6 points) (4-7 points)	
(4-6 points) (4-10 points)	
(4-6 points) (7-40 points)	には、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1
(4:6 points) (Santop 5:4)	
(4-6 points) W-turbuints)	
(4-6 points) (4-7 points)	
(4-6 points) (4-10 points)	
(4-6 points)	
(4-6 points) (4-10 points)	
(4-6 points)	は、一般の一般の一般の一般の一般の一般の一個の一個の一個の一個の一個の一個の一個の一個の一個の一個の一個の一個の一個の
(4:6 points) (4:40 points)	
(4-6 points) (7-10 points)	
(4-6 points) (4-10 points)	
(4-6 points) (4-10 points)	
(4-6 points) (4-6 points)	には、100mmので
(4-6 points) (4-10 points)	
(4-6 points)	
(4:6 points) (4-10 points)	
(4-6 points) W. Lupburts) Tota	
(4-6 points) Total	
(4-6 points) W-Luppints) Total F	
(4-6 points) Total Pc	1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1
(4-6 points) (4-10 points)	
(4-6 points) Total Points	
(4:6 points) (4-10 points) Total Points	The second of th

Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders
	The narrative is vague and does   The narrative is cle not convey the essence of   any details regardin collaboration
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration
	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration
(7)	

Total Points (Max. 10)	Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.  The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding Ethics	Rated Element - Ethics (Honesty &	Section IV. Maximum Total Points = 10	Total Points (Max. 10)	with a wide variety of key stakeholders collaboration collaboration
	s clear but lacks The narrative clearly demonstrates rding Ethics Ethics	(4-6 points) Total Points			

	Comments:

Applicant Name: Anthony Samlers
Rater Name: Doborsh Bown

Total Score = 40

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.	Rated Element: Community Service  Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing distributing or serving others, etc.  The narrative is vague and does any details regarding community comprehensive community service service service	(0-2 points) Incomplete Acceptable/Average points)Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Points

Section II. Waximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	Strong sense of connection to the cause work group, club or organization. Ensure that a cross-section of the community is engaged	Rated Element: Inclusiveness
	Not mentioned as a priority	(0-2 points)
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Inclusiveness	(4-6 points)
	The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate inclusiveness	(7-10 points)
ō		Total Points

F			
- 1		1 1	ດີ
j			Ξ.
ı.			5
Į			_
- [			₹
ı.	10	1	3
- [			=
- 1	1.0		4
- 1	m21.		-3
- 1.	Jan C		÷
- 1.	100	2.76	$\cap$
- [:	186	- 6	⋑
- {		1	-
- 1	4		~
- i	7		3
- 1.	્ંે	ું	3.
- [.	. 13		Ξ,
	. 183		ξ.
- 1			5 3
- [-	100	أوقع ا	۰,
- 13	130	55.4	ale
- 10			Ĵ,
- 1			- 1
- Ľ			Ŀ
- 1	1.3	W	i.
- 13			b
	100	-1.	
- 13	h	1/3	,
1		1825 11 24	Š
i			É
3	- V		5/-
1	أفالين	18. W 11	272
1	319	عوا تنعون	, i
1.9		4.4	Š
13		1	Ô
198	SSC25	34	Š
32	1		
1			47
4	···C	1	ġ.
- 13			ų.
3	11	4	1
4			
		1.2	ik
9.			70
13	Ú	13	
		劉	
- 2	A P	4.5	-13
ĥ	1		
13	3	100	Ä
35	1	1.2	Ť
23			
3.2		100	-
54	d.	344	Ť,
330	The		d,
30			
	遊		
			通 で
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			湯 一切 一切 日本
The second secon			湯、湯には湯をかるよう
		1000円の	The state of the s
		を できない 一番	湯 では ないかん かいかけん
	を では いっかん		3. 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
			湯 湯 湯 湯 の からから からか 一 あるという
			湯、河川村等をおるのとかに 一大子 いっしゃ
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		通過所有各种以外的一個年以外有權
	(4-6		湯、湯になっておりないかとある。 はっちゅんけん
	(4- <b>5</b> p		第一次に対象を大ちないのである。 第一次に対象を対象に対してあるというのであるとなった。
	(4)-b po		語: 所以相談大力的人的以前二次是一次一次要職的各位等所以
	14-6 poin		湯、湯は湯をおりかいか! あるとはこのを帰れてなるのは
	(4-6 point	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	「語」所以為其一時以外以前三年第二十二日中國的時代的首日以前五日
	(4-6 points)		湯·原子物學是一個人所以於一一本之一以下一個人的學學是好可以以及其他可以
	(Students)		語。所知者をある。以外三年子は一年をあれるがある。 第二年子の一年をある。
	(4-5 points)		通過一個人的一個人一個人一個人一個人的人的一個人的人的人的人
	(4-6 points)	The second secon	第一次 的现在分词 的时间是一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个
	(4-6 points)		第一次が発生を含めていることでは、一般を含めているでは、100mg 100mg
	(4-6 points)		第一次以前是安全的人的以前三十年至一次的一次要因为各位的一位的主题的有关的自己的是这位的工作。
	(4-6 points)	10 pc	通過以前衛星的人以前四十五年以下以後衛衛門的衛衛門以及西衛衛門的衛門衛門衛門衛門衛門
	14-bipoints)	10 poi	第二次以及其一方式的以及一方式上以下公安。
	(4-6-points)	(4.10 point	第二次以及其一次以及以及一次方式以及一次的数据的人的数据的数据的数据的数据的数据的数据的数据的数据的数据的数据的数据的数据的数据的
	(44- <b>6-points)</b>	La points	清· 明· 一般是一句子如此一句子 一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一
	(4-5 points)	$H^{2}$	其一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一
	(4-5 points)	www.a	第一次 · 衛子の かいね 二元子 いこと 情報をなる 可以 · 財本的 二十八日本の元元十八年 · 解 · 解文 · 的 · 二
	14-6 points)	· Park (Z-10 points)。	方。 原子 原子 中 不 中 一 中 一 中 一 中 一 中 一 中 一 中 中 中 中 中 中
	14-6 points)	Land Application (7-10 points)	第一次有一個學生也不可以們們一定不可以一個一個好的好學的可以與我們的工事可以也可以完了了一個一個的一個人的一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一
	(4t-o points)	10 points)	第一次の報告をあったのか二元をから、一般を持ちながら、財政の財子の事件を表現にある。 明に続けるのかのでは、ここで
	The points   The second of the	10 (10 points)	第一次 的复数形式 化二硫二硫 不不 一次 服务电话 可以 Mana 1881年 19 12 12 16 16 18 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
	(4-6 points)	Cally Service (I.C.10 points)	第一次,我想要不明,我们就一定是不好了。 · 實際外面的學術以前的意思是一個問題的意思是一個學術的情報的人們不可以一次一次一個人
	(44-6 points)	Later (7-10 points)	第一次 的复数人的 的现在分词 人名英格兰人姓氏格兰的变体 的复数人名 医神经炎 医外下肠炎 医眼镜 经收入的 人名英格兰人姓氏克里的变体
	(4-6 points)	(2.10 points)	通過一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個一個
	14-5 points)	$L_{\rm coll} = (10.710  { m points})$	第一次の一般の名の人の行為に一般を行いて、一般を持ちられる時代のはある時代を見せなる。 日本のでは はなんちゅうけん ファイン・ストーストーストーストーストーストーストーストーストーストーストーストーストース
	(4-6 points)	Language (Language)	第二次の対象を表していいが、一定とないできる。では、現状を化せている。 のは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これで
	14-6 POINTS)		通过被引起的最后,这种问题。在1000年代的一个数据的电话,如此数据的数据,可是可能是一种对于多多的,但是有效的,可是有一种,可以是一种的一种,可以是一种,可以
	(4:o points)	(Z-10 points)	通过的复数形式 化对邻苯甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基
	14-6 points)	を Carly (7-10 points)	第二次の記録を行うのではいません。このではないのでは、はないのでは、「日本では、日本では、日本では、日本では、日本では、日本では、日本では、日本では、
	14-6 Polints)	(7-10 points)	通过的复数形式的复数形式 电光光线 医多种 医神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经
	The (44 to points) is the second of the seco	(7-10 points)	<b>通过的复数形式的复数形式 医多种结节 化氯甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基</b>
	(4-6 points)	1,2 € (I/C-10 points)	漢語の情報を表現の情報が一定を対象のでは、「現場のものない。」は、「ないないでは、「ないないないないないないないない。」というないできない。「ないないないないないないないないないないないないないない ( ) 「 ( ) 「 ) 「 ) 「 ) 「 ) 「 ) 「 ) 「 ) 「
	14-cipolints)	(10 points)	「高いでは、自然できた。これでは、これでは、一般のないでは、ないないでは、「ないでは、これでは、ないでは、これでは、ないできた。これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、
	Tot	(7.10 points)	「通過機能を通過的に対して、対して、対して、対して、対して、対して、対して、対して、対して、対して、
	(4 o points)	(7.10 points)	第一個人工學院、大學學院、大學學院、大學學院、大學學院、大學學院、大學學院、大學學院、大
	Total F	(7-10 points)	第一次に対象のでは、対象がある。 第一次のできない。 第一次のできない。 第一次のできない。 第一次のできない。 第一次のできない。 第一次のできない。 第二次のできない。 第二次のできないのできない。 第二次のできない。 第二次のできないのできない。 第二次のできない。 第二次のできない。 第二次のできない。 第二次のできない。 第二次のできない。 第二次のできない。 第二次のできない。 第二次のできないのできないのできないのできないのできないのできないのできないのできない
	(4-5 points)	Light (I/L10 points)	「通行の対象を表現の対象を対象によっての数据があるを発展しませる。 「対象を対象という」というといるというというというという。
	(4-6 points)	16.2 (A. ) (7.10 points)	「通行の関係を表現が明明である。」というでは、現場を持ちない。
	14-6 points)	() (/-iU points)	議院の指導の表現の問題が一般などの情報が多位を使用が多数の理解を開発を確認しているがありません。これではないできないできない。これにようしている。
	Total Points	1. (I/C-10 points)	第一個人工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工

Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders  Total Points (Max. 10)  The narrative is vague and does any details regarding collaboration  The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration  The narrative clearly demonstrates a collaboration					
The narrative is clear but lacks not convey the essence of collaboration  The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration	Community problem solving. May elect to				
Total Points (Max. 10)	contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of collaboration	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration	
一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个	Total Points (Max. 10)				0

Total Points (Max. 10)	Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.	Rated Element: Ethics (Honesty & Integrity)
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding Ethics  The narrative clearly demonstrates ethics	(0-2 points) (4-6 points) (7-10 points)
		(7-10 points) Total Points

		 Comments:

Total Score = C

Potos procede posición de la companya de la company

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

#### Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Wax. 10)	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.	Rated Element: Community Service (0-2 points) incomplete  Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.  The narrative is vague and does of any details regarding community comprehensive community service service service	(0-2 points) incomplete (4-6 points) Acceptable/Average points) Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Points

#### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	Strong sense of connection to the cause work group, club or organization. Ensure that a cross-section of the community is engaged	Rated Element: Inclusiveness
	Not mentioned as a priority	(0-2 points)
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding inclusiveness	(4-6 points)
	The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate Inclusiveness	(7-10 points)
Ō		Total Points

	-
	ψ,
	O
	드
	<u>m</u>
	O.
	3
10.00	ಹ
- 15°-	⊐;
200	Τ.
1970	-
100 100 100	
	=
	ω.
A - 45 6	Ø.
- 15°	ୁ .
	வ
	<b>~</b>
- E75295	ō
F. 100 A. A.	5
- 核學的質	
\$120kg	
30	
	- 7
14.22	
Fig. Share	-9
100 m	
No.	200
- A 2" ( ) 2 C	
	46
11/1/2019	10
784-5627	
<b>新州</b>	物質
A (4) (4)	1.0
(0-2 points)	
10 mg	
52.79057	Sec. 5
	1.4
11.0	915
	34
் ம	
7)(1.)	
	initi.
	din.
32 M	
points)	¥45
	1
4	الشورافة
27.74	影響
<b>经外类型</b>	<b>安</b>
18.4	
<b>科斯拉斯</b>	445
250	LZ.
走到路的	
27.23	0.00
75.	
<u>a</u>	<b>外</b> 内
a 6	
(4,6	· 新香香香
(4-6 pc	
(4-6 poi	の対象を基準の
(4-6 point	が対象を対象のなる
(4-6 points	が対象を必要に
(4-6 points)	では までいる かんない
(4.6 points)	が対象に対象の対象となっては
(4-6 points)	
(4-6 points)	大学 はないないのである。
(4-6 points)	の場合には、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これで
(4-6 points)	
(4-6 points)	
(4-6 points)	
(4-6 points) (4-10 points)	
(4-6 points)	
(4-6 points)	
(4-6 points)	
(4-6 points)	
(4-6 points)	がある。これでは、これでは、これには、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これで
(4-6 points)	では、これでは、これでは、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これには、これに
(4-6 points) (4-10 points)	
(4-6 points) (4-10 points)	
(4-6 points)	THE STATE OF THE S
(4-6 points) (4-10 points)	である。これは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、こ
(4.6 points)	の場合を持ち、100mmの 100mmの 100mm 100mm 100mm 100mm 100mm 100mm 100mm
(4-6 points) (7-responits)	の場合は、「おおり、「「「「」」」というできない。これでは、「「」」というできない。「「」」というできない。「「」」というできない。「「」」というできない。「「」」というできない。「「」」というできない
(4-6 points) (4-10 points)	のでは、「「「「「」」というでは、「「」」というできます。 「「」」というできます。 「「」」というできます。 「「」」というできます。 「「」」というできます。 「「」」というできます。 「「」」
(4.6 points)	の場合を終めている。 1700 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1
(4-6 points) (7-to points)	が、
(4-6 points) (4-10 points)	
(4.6 points)	
(4-6 points) (7-to points)	
(4-6 points) (4-10 points)	
(4-6 points) (1-10 points)	では、100mmので
(4-6 points) (7-to points) Tota	
(4-6 points) (4-10 points)	
(4-6 points) (4-10 points) Total P	では、100mmので
(4-6 points) (7-to points) Total Poi	
-6 points) (* Total Poin	
(4-6 points) (4-10 points) Total Points	を受ける。   「

Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders	
	The narrative is vague and does of the narrative is clearned collaboration The narrative is clear collaboration to collaboration	
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration	
	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration	
UT .		

	Total Points (Max. 10)	Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.	Rated Element Ethics (Honesty & Integrity)	Section IV. Maximum Total Points = 10	Total Points (Max. 10)	with a wide variety of key stakeholders
		The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of Ethics	(0-Ž points)			collaboration collab
		The narrative is clear but lacks  The narrative is clear but lacks  Et	(4:6 points)			collaboration
		The narrative clearly demonstrates Ethics	(7-10 points) Total Points			nummber of efforts at collaboration
C	0		Total Points		S	

		Comments:
<del></del>		

Applicant Name: DEFICOR Martin Jacksor
Rater Name: Deborah Brown

Total Score = \_ \_

U M

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.	Rated Element: Community Service  Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.  The narrative is vague and does of any details regarding community comprehensive community service service service	(0-2 points) Incomplete (4-6 points) Acceptable/Average points) Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Points

#### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

6	0			-10	-
Total Points (Max. 10)	engaged	that a cross-section of the community is	work group, club or organization. Ensure	Strong sense of connection to the cause	Rated Element Inclusiveness
		NOL	*    -		
		mer			
		June			
		ned a	_		(0-2 points)
		d e S			O.
		Not mentioned as a priority			•
		ţ			
	=	ച		<u> </u>	
	Inclusiveness	any details regarding	The narrative is clear	•	
	iven	etail	arra		Spirit Gwil e
	ess	s reg	tive		\$
		ardi	is c		- 6 - 9 - 0
# ## # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #		يو ت	eart		ints
			ir but lacks		
			cks		
-	=	ā			34000 m
	ıclusi	ctivit	he na		ir s L
	Inclusiveness	ies t	arrat		
	SSS	activities that demonstrate	The narrative clearly demonstrates		7
		lemo	learl		6
		nstr	y det		_ <u>©.</u> . <b>⊒</b>
		ate	nons	,	
			strati	200 C 100 C	
W.			S	2.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	
	-		<del></del>	3	<b>a</b>
ō					P PO
1					3

á
eq
Tier
nen
9
<u>a</u>
5
e adagy i ya
538.25 (1.2 863.25 (1.56
arevals.
17.92(h) 27.5 (2)
6
2
<u>0</u>
# <b>6</b> 6
70.5 F
G.
8
3
L L
ह
Ē
30 25 40 (1)
AND STATE
<b>.</b>
110 a 110 a 110 a
200 TO

•		
Community problem solving. May elect to		
contribute talents through specialized	The narrative is vague and does   The narrative is clear but lacks	
S	he essence of any details regarding	The narrative clearly demonstrates a
with a wide variety of key stakeholders	collaboration	The second of th
Total Points (Max. 10)		×C
70000		

collaboration  nummber of efforts at collaboration  (4-6 points)  The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Ethics  The narrative clearly demonstrates Ethics
--

	Comments:



#### HUMAN SERVICES ADVISORY BOARD MEETING Thursday, September 28, 2017 6:00 PM

#### MARTIN LUTHER KING HUMANITARIAN AWARD NOMINEES TALLY SHEET

NAME	VOTES	COMMENTS
1. Mansura Minhas (1)	parting .	
2. Marquerite Bryant (7)	, con	
	8	
3. Anthony Sanders (17)	. ,	,
	46	
4. Juliet Brown (2)		
5. Officer Martin Jackson III (2)		·

Applicant Name: MANNSULAMINI
Rater Name: XIMBELTY XUSSE

Total Score = 7

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.	Rated Element: Community Service  Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
	does The narrative is clea any details regarding service	Rated Element: Community/Service (0-2 points) Incomplete (4-6 points) Acceptable/Averag
	r but lacks  The narrative clearly demonstrates community comprehensive community service activity	points)Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Points

#### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	Strong sense of connection to the cause work group, club or organization. Ensure that a cross-section of the community is engaged	Rated Element: Inclusiveness
	Not mentioned as a priority	(0-2 points)
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Inclusiveness	(4.6 points)
	The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate Inclusiveness	(7-10 points)
1225.1	S	Total Points

7
1 8
2
(ated Element: Cr
□
1
া ত
- □ - □ = □
-   ∵ : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
- C 35
1 00 A
1.00
J. A
a
- 60
্ৰ <u>ক</u>
borati
_   `` <b>≌</b>
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
5
《學術學學學》等
3.5
· 特許等
- N. O. (372).
1.556
t: Collaboration
a Gay March
2. 美国的
Mark Diff. In.
2000年度
100 医外部
14個漢字字
100 April 100 Ap
Contraction of
THE TENEDS
THE STATE OF
3889 <b>0</b> 375
<b>10</b>
( O
122
G \$1000 AC
100000000000000000000000000000000000000
<b>公规范达尔</b> 达
A PARTY OF THE PAR
GEOGRAPHICA CONTRACT
<b>建设施建设</b>
Committee D
<b>多种发生的</b>
1-44-45
A CONTRACT
<b>深露材度</b> (6)
Salaring State Co.
713. A
(0-2 points)
<b>(3</b>
<b>a</b>
(4.6
<b>a</b>
(4-6 po
(4-6 poi
(4-6 po
(4-6 poi
(4-6 points)
(4-6 points) Total P
(4-6 points) Total Poi
(4-6 points) Total Point
(4-6 points) Total Poi
(4-6 points) Total Point

Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders
	The narrative is vague and does of any details regarding collaboration the narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration
	s  The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration

Section IV. Maximum Total Points = 10	
Rated Element Ethics (Honesty & Integrity)	(0-2 points) (4-6 points) (7-10 points) Total Points
Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of any details regarding Ethics  The narrative is vague and does any details regarding Ethics  The narrative clearly demonstrates Ethics
Total Points (Max. 10)	2

Applicant Name: MAR Related La Self PARTH TO Rater Name: 411000 Le Le La Self



Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

#### Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, distributing or serving others, etc.  The narrative is vague and does any details regarding convey the essence of any details regarding community service	Rated Element: Community Service
	The narrative is vague and does  The narra not convey the essence of  any detail community service  service	(0-2 points) incomplete (4-6 points)
	The narrative is clear but lacks  The narrative is clear but lacks  any details regarding community comprehe service  activity	(4-6 points) Acceptable/Average points)Co
	r but lacks  The narrative clearly demonstrates community comprehensive community service activity	omplete/Excellent/Strong Total Point

### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	Strong sense of connection to the cause work group, club or organization. Ensure that a cross-section of the community is engaged	Rated Element: Inclusiveness
	Not mentioned as a priority	(0-2 points)
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Inclusiveness	(4-6 points)
	The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate inclusiveness	(7-10 points)
132-98188TG	0	Total Points

<u> </u>
Ω
<u></u>
Rated F
-
ated Elemei
- Harris (1984)
Rated Elemen
ဥ
. 0
1
20
ă
o a
0072
=
<b>9</b>
20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20,
29.7945.8145
9
1000
The state of the s
300
SECTION AND AND AND
THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF T
<b>李福斯</b> 斯
THE PASSED IN
Salar Salar
DESERVE OF
received the same
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
)-2 points)
1
2
4 × 10 × 10
A. 2. 2. 3
6
· 建磷钾酸的
AGAMETO MASS
<b>在我的对象</b>
TO THE PROPERTY OF
THE REAL PROPERTY.
549 (85.717)
commenced states in a
\$10.057

Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders  The narrative is vague and does of any details regarding collaboration collaboration.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding nummber of efforts at collaboration ,	
	$\sim$	

				Total Points (Max. 10)
1	The narrative clearly demonstrates Ethics	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Ethics	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of Ethics	Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.
Total Points	(7-10 points) Total Points	(46 points)	(0-2-points)	Rated Element: Ethics (Honesty & Integrity)
				Section IV. Maximum Total Points = 10
·				Total Points (Max. 10)
Ž,	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration	not convey the essence of any details regarding collaboration collaborat	not convey the essence of collaboration	services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders

		Comments:
	- W-, M	

Applicant Name: Applicant Name

Total Score =

Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application

Section I. - Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, not convey the essence of distributing or serving others, etc.	Rated Element: Community Service (0-2 points) Incomplete (4-6 points) Accepta Answer  Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
	does The narrative is clea any details regarding service	oints) Incomplete - (4-6 points) Acceptable/Average
	r but lacks  The narrative clearly demonstrates community comprehensive community service activity	points)Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Point

#### Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	Strong sense of connection to the cause work group, club or organization. Ensure that a cross-section of the community is engaged	Rated Element: Inclusiveness
	Not mentioned as a priority	340-Z points)
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Inclusiveness	(A-6 points)
	The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate Inclusiveness	(7-10 points)
5		Total Points

i i	Z
i i	ല്പ
	ated F
	$\Box$
	٦,
10.00	2
	ੂਂ
	2
	3
4	0
4.24.4.	9
1	Ū,
	<u></u>
6 1	2
1	Ų,
\$	₽.
200 T	-
1 Total 1 To 1	3
	: 5
	Ÿ
5 m 55 m 10 mm	si
1 July 18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(er Disc
	1
19.34.34.34.	1
ar 1, 10 1 25 2	4
CONTRACT.	3
Zak.	
The state of	
(0.2)	
二次對於當	74
30万元	×.
	14
· 6	Ó
P. D	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ŏ	
0.0	
	1.7
	9.5
<b>学生研究</b>	
で変質性の変化がある	
100	
year (in the	
e i	
e l	
	がい ないない 神事
	がい ないない はない
	紀十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二
[(7-10 points)] (7-10 points)	
(4:6 points)	
(4:6 points)	
(4:6 points)	
(4:6 points)	
(4:6 points)	
(4:6 points)	

Total Points (Max. 10)	Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.	Rated Element: Ethics (Honesty & 1
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of Ethics  The narrative is clear but lacks The narrative any details regarding Ethics Ethics	(0-2-points) (4-6-points)
	The narrative clearly demonstrates  Ethics	(7-10 points) Total Points

Comments:

Rater Name: Applicant Name:

Total Score =

Rater should assign a point value based on the Alominee's Application

Section I. - Waximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)	e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, distributing or serving others, etc.  The narrative is vague and not convey the essence of community service	Rated Element: Community Service  Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
	The narrative is vague and does of any details regarding community service service The narrative clearly demonstrates to community service and does of any details regarding community service and does of any details regarding community service and does of the narrative is clear but lacks the narrative clearly demonstrates comprehensive community service	(0-2 points) Incomplete (4-6 points) Acceptable/Average points) Complete/Excellent/Strong Total Points

#### Section II. Waximum Total Points = 10

Total Points (Max. 10)		Rated Element, Inclusiveness.  Strong sense of connection to the cause
	Not mentioned as a priority	(0-2 polints)
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Inclusiveness	(4-6 points)
	The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate inclusiveness	(7-10 points)
9	6	Total Points

Rat
ed
len
nen
7
, a
la.
 S
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O
inische 23
, N
. TO.
8
Seed to 2
Set Survey
Section 1
(4 6 p
(2) (1) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2
Jints)
CALL CALL
7,10 p
76
2
, <b>6</b>
ints)
rigi kali. Lipado a
rou.
PC III A A

Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders
	he narrative is vague and does ot convey the essence of ollaboration
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration
	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration

with a wide variety of key stakeholders	collaboration collaboration	o a con
Total Points (Max. 10)		
Section IV. Maximum Total Points = 10		
Rated Element: Ethics (Honesty & Salarity)	(0-2 points) (4-6 points)	(7-10 points) Total Points
Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of Ethics  The narrative is clear but lacks the narrative clearly demonstrates any details regarding Ethics	onstrates
Total Points (Max. 10)		

Total Points	(7-10 points)	(4-6 points)	(0-2 points)	Rated Element: Collaboration
-				
				Total Points (Max. 10)
J	The narrative clearly demonstrates activities that demonstrate inclusiveness	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Inclusiveness	Not mentioned as a priority	work group, club or organization. Ensure that a cross-section of the community is engaged
Total Points	(Z-10 points)	(4-6 points)	(0-2 points)	Rated Element Inclusiveness
-				Section II. Maximum Total Points = 10
		ν		
				Total Points (Max. 10)
)	The narrative clearly demonstrates comprehensive community service activity	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding community service	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of community service	to help the community and its residents, e.g., fundraising, collecting and preparing, distributing or serving others, etc.
Total Points	Points)Complete/Excellent/Strong	(4-6 points) Acceptable/Average Answer	(0-2 points) incomplete	Rated Element: Community Service.  Voluntary and/or unpaid work, intended
			the Nominee's Application	Rater should assign a point value based on the Nominee's Application Section I Maximum Total Points = 10
0	Total Score =		4 Klessy	Rater Name AIMBELK
		Me Carl	MACON TO	Applicant Name Applicant Name (1997)
	d Rating Sheet	City of Hallandale Beach Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., Humanitarian Award Rating Sheet	of Hallandale Beach Dr. Martin I	City
			こうこう 人の行動を開発している。 はいい 大阪 一大阪 大阪	

Total Points (Max. 10)	Community problem solving. May elect to contribute talents through specialized services. Establishment of partnerships with a wide variety of key stakeholders
	The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of collaboration
	The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding collaboration
	The narrative clearly demonstrates a nummber of efforts at collaboration
24 T 1947	2

Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization and the cause or mission it represents.  Engendering trust within the community.	(0-2 points)  The narrative is vague and does not convey the essence of Ethics  (4-6 points)  The narrative is clear but lacks any details regarding Ethics	The narrative clearly demonstrates  Ethics	Otal Points
Trustworthy to the community.  Committed to the group or organization	The narrative is cle	The narrative clearly demonstrates	
and the cause or mission it represents. Engendering trust within the community.	any details regarding	Ethics	)
Total Points (Wax. 10)			-

	·		Comments: